arXiv:1408.2851v1 [math.LO] 12 Aug 2014

The onto mapping property of Sierpinski

A. Miller
July 2014

Define

(*) There exists $(\phi_n : \omega_1 \to \omega_1 : n < \omega)$ such that for every $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ there exists n such that $\phi_n(I) = \omega_1$.

This is roughly what Sierpinski [10] refers to as P_3 but I think he brings $\mathbb{R}$ into it. I don't know French so I cannot say for sure what he says but I think he proves that (*) follows from the continuum hypothesis. Here we show that the existence of a Luzin set implies (*) and (*) implies that there exists a nonmeager set of reals of size ω_1. We also show that it is relatively consistent that (*) holds but there is no Luzin set. All the other properties in this paper, (**), (S*), (S**), (B*) are shown to be equivalent to (*).

Proposition 1 *(Sierpinski [10]) CH implies (*).*

proof:

Let $\omega_1^\omega = \bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1} \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ where the $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ are countable and increasing. For each α construct $(\phi_n(\alpha) : n < \omega)$ so that for every $g \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ there is a some n such that $\phi_n(\alpha) = g(n)$.

Now suppose $I \subseteq \omega_1$. If no ϕ_n maps I onto ω_1, then there exists $g \in \omega_1^\omega$ such that $g(n) \notin \phi_n(I)$ for every n. If $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_0}$, then $\alpha \notin I$ for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. This is because $g \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and so for some n $g(n) = \phi_n(\alpha)$ and since $g(n) \notin \phi_n(I)$ we have $\alpha \notin I$.

□

Define

(**) There exists $(g_\alpha : \omega \to \omega_1 : \alpha < \omega_1)$ such that for every $g : \omega \to \omega_1$ for all but countably many α there are infinitely many n with $g(n) = g_\alpha(n)$.

Proposition 2 *(**) iff (*).*

proof:

To see (**) implies (*) let $\phi_n(\alpha) = g_\alpha(n)$. Then the proof of the first proposition goes thru.

On the other hand suppose $(\phi_n : \omega_1 \to \omega_1 : n < \omega)$ witnesses (*). First note that for any $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ there are infinitely many n such that $\phi_n(I) = \omega_1$. This is because if there are only finitely many n we could cut down I in finitely many steps so that there were no n with $\phi_n(I) = \omega_1$.

Now define $g_\alpha \in \omega_1^\omega$ by $g_\alpha(n) = \phi_n(\alpha)$. These witness (**). Given any $g : \omega \to \omega_1$ if there is an uncountable $I \subseteq \omega_1$ and $N < \omega$ such that for every $\alpha \in I$ we have $g(n) \neq g_\alpha(n)$ for all $n > N$ then this means that $g(n) \notin \phi_n(I)$ and for all $n > N$ and so (*) fails.

□

Obviously (**) is false if $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$ so (*) is not provable just from ZFC.

Proposition 3 *It is relatively consistent with any cardinal arithmetic that (*) is true and* $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d} = \omega_1$.

proof:

Start with any M a countable transitive model of ZFC. Our final model is $M[g_\alpha, f_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1]$ where each $g_\alpha : \omega \to \alpha$ is generic with respect to the poset of finite partial functions from ω to α and $f_\beta \in \omega^\omega$ is Hechler real over $M[g_\alpha, f_\alpha : \alpha < \beta]$. The ω_1-sequence is obtained by finite support ccc forcing. By ccc for any $g \in \omega_1^\omega \cap M[g_\alpha, f_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1]$ there will be $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$ such that α_0 bounds the range of g and $g \in M[g_\alpha, f_\alpha : \alpha < \alpha_0]$. It follows by product genericity that for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ there are infinitely many n such that $g(n) = g_\alpha(n)$. The Hechler sequence f_α for $\alpha < \omega_1$ shows that $\mathfrak{d} = \omega_1$.

□

With a little more work we will prove that (*) follows from the existence of a Luzin set (Prop 6). We will also show that (*) implies there is a nonmeager set of reals of size ω_1 (Prop 7) and so in the random real model (*) fails and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d} = \omega_1$.

Actually I think Sierpinski considers what appears to be a stronger version:

Define

(S*) There exists $(\phi_n : \omega_1 \to \omega_1 : n < \omega)$ such that for every $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ for all but finitely many $n \quad \phi_n(I) = \omega_1$.

Surprisingly (S*) is equivalent to (*).

Proposition 4 *(S*) iff (*).*

proof:

We show (**) implies (S*).

Let $a_0 = 1$ and $a_{n+1} = 1 + \sum_{i \leq n} a_i$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{u \mid \exists D \in [\omega_1]^{a_n} \ \ u : D \to \omega_1\} \text{ and } \prod_{n<\omega} \mathcal{A}_n = \{g \mid \forall n \ g(n) \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$$

Since each $\mathcal{A}_n$ has cardinality ω_1 from (**) we get $(g_\alpha \in \prod_{n<\omega} \mathcal{A}_n : \alpha < \omega_1)$ such that for every $g \in \prod_{n<\omega} \mathcal{A}_n$ for all but countably many α there are infinitely many n such that $g(n) = g_\alpha(n)$. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$ define $h_\alpha : \omega \to \omega_1$ so that if $g_\alpha(n) = u_n : A_n \to \omega_1$ for every n then

$$h_\alpha \restriction (A_n \setminus \cup_{i<n} A_i) = u_n \restriction (A_n \setminus \cup_{i<n} A_i)$$

Since $|A_k| = a_k$ the sets $A_n \setminus \cup_{i<n} A_i$ are nonempty. We claim that the h_α have the following property:

Define

(S**) For any $X \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $h : X \to \omega_1$ for all but countably many α there are infinitely many $n \in X$ with $h(n) = h_\alpha(n)$.

It is enough to see there is at least one $n \in X$ with $h(n) = h_\alpha(n)$. Otherwise if there were only finitely many n for uncountably many α we could throw out from X a fixed finite set for uncountably many α and get a contradiction.

Let $X = \{x_n : n < \omega\}$ listing X in increasing order. Define $g \in \prod_{n<\omega} \mathcal{A}_n$ by $g(n) = h \restriction \{x_i : i < a_n\}$. Now suppose $g_\alpha(n) = g(n)$. This means that if $g_\alpha(n) = u_n : A_n \to \omega_1$, then $A_n = \{x_i : i < a_n\}$ and $u_n = h \restriction A_n$. But since $A_n \setminus \cup_{i<n} A_i$ is nonempty we get that $h_\alpha(x) = h(x)$ for some $x \in X$.

Now define $\phi_n(\alpha) = h_\alpha(n)$. This has the required property (S*). Given I uncountable let X be the $n \in \omega$ with $\phi_n(I) \neq \omega_1$. If X is infinite we would get $h : X \to \omega_1$ such that $h(n) \notin \phi_n(I)$ for all $n \in X$. But this means that for all $\alpha \in I$ and $n \in X$ that $h(n) \neq h_\alpha(n)$ which contradicts (S**).

□

This is related to results in Bartoszynski [2].

Bagemihl-Sprinkle [1] say that Sierpinski states CH implies (S*) but only proves (*). They give a proof from CH of a seemingly stronger version:

Define

(B*) There exists $(\phi_n : \omega_1 \to \omega_1 : n < \omega)$ such that for every $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ for all but finitely many n for all $\beta < \omega_1$ there are uncountably many $\alpha \in I$ with $\phi_n(\alpha) = \beta$, i.e., not only is $\phi_n(I) = \omega_1$ but it is uncountable-to-one.

Proposition 5 *(S*) iff (B*)*

proof:

Let $\pi : \omega_1 \to \omega_1$ be uncountable to one, i.e., for all $\beta < \omega_1$ there are uncountably many $\alpha < \omega_1$ with $\pi(\alpha) = \beta$. If $(\phi_n : \omega_1 \to \omega_1 : n < \omega)$ witness (S*) then $(\pi \circ \phi_n : \omega_1 \to \omega_1 : n < \omega)$ satisfies (B*).
□

Proposition 6 *If there is a Luzin set, then (*) is true.*

proof:

We prove (**). Suppose $\{g_\alpha : \omega \to \omega \ : \ \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a Luzin set, then it satisfies that for every $k : \omega \to \omega$ for all but countably many $\alpha < \omega_1$ there are infinitely many n such that $k(n) = g_\alpha(n)$.

There is a sequence $(f_\alpha : \alpha \to \omega : \omega \leq \alpha < \omega_1)$ of one-to-one functions which is coherent: for $\alpha < \beta \quad f_\beta \restriction \alpha =^* f_\alpha$, i.e., $f_\beta(\gamma) = f_\alpha(\gamma)$ for all but finitely many $\gamma < \alpha$. This is the construction of an Aronszajn tree which appears in the first edition of Kunen's set theory book [6].

Let $\hat{g}_\alpha : \omega \to \alpha$ be any map which extends $f_\alpha^{-1} \circ g_\alpha$. We claim that for any $k : \omega \to \omega_1$ which is one-to-one that for all but countably many α there are infinitely many n with $\hat{g}_\alpha(n) = k(n)$. To see this suppose $k : \omega \to \beta$ is one-to-one and let $\hat{k} = f_\beta \circ k$ which maps ω to ω. Then for some $\alpha_0 > \beta$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ there will be infinitely many n with $g_\alpha(n) = \hat{k}(n)$. This means that $g_\alpha(n) = f_\beta(k(n))$. Since k is one-to-one, there will be infinitely many such n where $f_\beta(k(n)) = f_\alpha(k(n))$. But $g_\alpha(n) = f_\alpha(k(n))$ implies $\hat{g}_\alpha(n) = k(n)$.

To get rid of the requirement that k be one-to-one, let $j : \omega_1 \times \omega \to \omega_1$ be a bijection and $\pi : \omega_1 \to \omega_1$ be projection onto first coordinate, i.e., $\pi(j(\alpha, n)) = \alpha$. Define $h_\alpha(n) = \pi(\hat{g}_\alpha(n))$. Given any $k : \omega \to \omega_1$ define $\hat{k}(n) = j(k(n), n)$. Then since $\hat{k}$ is one-to-one for all but countably many α there will be infinitely many n with $\hat{g}_\alpha(n) = \hat{k}(n)$. But this implies

$$h_\alpha(n) = \pi(\hat{g}_\alpha(n)) = \pi(\hat{k}(n)) = k(n)$$

Hence $(h_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1)$ satisfies (**).
□

Proposition 7 *Suppose (*), then there exists* $(x_{\alpha,\beta} \in 2^\omega : \alpha, \beta < \omega_1)$ *such that for every dense open* $D \subseteq 2^\omega$ *there exists* $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$ *such that for every* $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ *there is a* $\beta_\alpha < \omega_1$ *such that* $x_{\alpha,\beta} \in D$ *for every* $\beta \geq \beta_\alpha$.

proof:

We use that there are $\{h_\alpha : \omega \to \omega \ : \ \alpha < \omega_1\}$ with the property that for every $X \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $h : \omega \to \omega$ for all but countably many α there are infinitely many $n \in X$ with $h(n) = h_\alpha(n)$ (see (S**) in the proof of Prop 4). This implies that there exists $(X_\alpha \in [\omega]^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1)$ such that for every $Y \in [\omega]^\omega$ for all but countably many α there are infinitely many $x \in X_\alpha$ such that $|Y \cap [x, x^+)| \geq 2$ where x^+ is the least element of X_α greater than x. Fix α and enumerate $X_\alpha = \{k_n : n < \omega\}$ in strict increasing order. Define

$$P_\alpha = \{g : \omega \to FIN(\omega, 2) \ : \ \forall n \ \ g(n) \in 2^{[k_n, k_{n+1})}\}$$

By (S**) there exists $g_{\alpha,\beta} \in P_\alpha$ for $\beta < \omega_1$ with the property that for any h in P_α and infinite $Y \subseteq \omega$ for all but countably many β there are infinitely many $n \in Y$ with $h(n) = g_{\alpha,\beta}(n)$. Define $x_{\alpha,\beta} \in 2^\omega$ by $x_{\alpha,\beta}(m) = g_{\alpha,\beta}(n)(m)$ where n is the unique integer with $k_n \leq m < k_{n+1}$. Equivalently $x_{\alpha,\beta} = \bigcup_n g_{\alpha,\beta}(n)$. (Without loss we may assume $k_0 = 0 \in X_\alpha$.)

Given $D \subseteq 2^\omega$ dense open let $\hat{D} \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ be the set of all s with $[s] \subseteq D$. Construct an infinite $Z \subseteq \omega$ so that for every $z \in Z$ there exists $t \in 2^{<\omega}$ with $|t| \leq z^+ - z$ such that for every $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ with $|s| \leq z$ we have $s\,t \in \hat{D}$ where $s\,t$ is the concatenation of s with t. By construction there exists α_0 so that for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ the there are infinitely many $x \in X_\alpha$ with $|[x, x^+) \cap Z| \geq 2$.

Fix $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ and as above $X_\alpha = \{k_n : n < \omega\}$. Let

$$Y = \{n \ : \ |[k_n, k_{n+1}) \cap Z| \geq 2.$$

Note that by the definition of Y there is a $h \in P_\alpha$ with the property that for every $n \in Y$ for every $s \in 2^{k_n}$ we have $s \cup h(n) \in \hat{D}$. For some β_α for every $\beta \geq \beta_\alpha$ there are infinitely many $n \in Y$ with $h(n) = g_{\alpha,\beta}(n)$ and so $x_{\alpha,\beta} \in D$.

□

This is similar to the argument of Miller [9]. Obviously the set of $x_{\alpha,\beta}$ in Prop 7 is nonmeager. Although it seems a little bit like a Luzin set, it isn't.

Proposition 8 *In the superperfect tree model (*) holds but there is no Luzin set.*

proof:

This is the countable support iteration of length ω_2 of superperfect tree forcing[1] over a ground model of CH. The fact that there is no Luzin set in this model is due to Judah and Shelah [5]. They also show that the set of ground model reals is not meager. We first do the argument for a single superperfect real even though it is not needed but it is easy and allows us to show the rest of the argument. Then we quote known results to cover the countable support iteration of length ω_2.

For T a subtree of $\omega^{<\omega}$, a node $s \in T$ is a splitting node iff $sn \in T$ for infinitely many $n < \omega$. A tree $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$ is superperfect iff the splitting nodes of T are dense in the tree T. The poset $\mathbb{P}$ is the partial order of superperfect trees.

One Step Lemma. Suppose $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $\alpha < \omega_1$, τ is a $\mathbb{P}$-name such that $p \Vdash \tau : \omega \to \alpha$, and $X \in [\omega]^\omega$. Then there exists $f : X \to \alpha$ and $q \leq p$ such that

$$q \Vdash \exists^\infty n \in \check{X}\ \check{f}(n) = \tau(n)$$

proof:

To prove this lemma, let $\{x_s\ :\ s \in p\}$ be a one-to-one enumeration of X. By standard fusion arguments construct $q \leq p$ and f such that for every split node $s \in q$ and $sn \in q$ we have that

$$q_{sn} \Vdash \check{f}(x_{s_n}) = \tau(x_{s_n})$$

□

Now we show that we can construct a witness to (**) which remains one after forcing once with $\mathbb{P}$. Let $X_\alpha \in [\omega]^\omega$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$ be pairwise disjoint. Let $\{(p_\alpha, \tau_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ list all pairs of (p, τ) such that $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and τ is a canonical name such that $p \Vdash \tau : \omega \to \omega_1$. Apply the One Step Lemma to get $q_\alpha \leq p_\alpha$ and $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \to \omega_1$ such that

$$q_\alpha \Vdash \exists^\infty n \in \check{X}_\alpha\ \ \check{f}_\alpha(n) = \tau_\alpha(n)$$

Now construct $g_\alpha : \omega \to \omega_1$ such that for every $\beta < \alpha$ $\ g_\alpha \restriction X_\beta =^* f_\beta$. (To see how to do this let $\{\beta_n : n < \omega\}$ be a one-to-one enumeration of α. Put $Z_n = X_{\beta_n} \setminus \bigcup_{k<n} X_{\beta_k}$ and $g_\alpha = \bigcup_{n<\omega} f_{\beta_n} \restriction Z_n$.)

[1] So called Miller forcing. I also called it rational perfect set forcing.

We claim after forcing with $\mathbb{P}$ that $(g_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1)$ satisfies $(**)$. Suppose $p \Vdash \tau : \omega \to \omega_1$. We may find $p_\alpha \leq p$ and τ_α such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \tau = \tau_\alpha$. By construction

$$q_\alpha \Vdash \exists^\infty n \in X_\alpha \;\; f_\alpha(n) = \tau_\alpha(n)$$

Since for any $\gamma > \alpha$ we have that $g_\gamma \restriction X_\alpha =^* f_\alpha$ we are done.

The next step is to generalize the One Step Lemma to $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ by using a result of Judah and Shelah [5]. They showed that after forcing with $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ the set of ground model reals, $M \cap \omega^\omega$, is nonmeager. Hence for any $X \in [\omega]^\omega \cap M$ and $\alpha < \omega_1$ we have that $M \cap \alpha^X$ is nonmeager. Thus for any $k : X \to \omega$ in the generic extension $M[G]$ there must be $f : X \to \omega$ in M such that $f(n) = k(n)$ for infinitely many $n \in X$. This is because the set

$$\{f \in \alpha^X : \forall^\infty n \in X \;\; f(n) \neq k(n)\}$$

is meager. Hence the Lemma holds for $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$, i.e., for any τ, $X \in [\omega]^\omega$, $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ such that $p \Vdash \tau : \omega \to \alpha$ there is $f \in \alpha^X$ and $q \leq p$ such that

$$q \Vdash \exists^\infty n \in \check{X} \;\; \check{f}(n) = \tau(n).$$

Superperfect tree forcing is Souslin; Goldstern and Judah [3] give the argument in detail for Laver forcing. An earlier paper of Judah and Shelah [4] shows that every real in the ω_2 length iteration of Souslin posets is added by a sub-iteration of countable length. Hence for any G_{ω_2} which is $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ generic over M and $k \in 2^\omega \cap M[G_{\omega_2}]$ there exists $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $H_\alpha \in M[G_{\omega_2}]$ which is $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$-generic over M with $k \in M[H_\alpha]$. Judah and Shelah [4] do this in detail for the iteration of Mathias forcing but it would also be true for the iteration of superperfect tree forcing. Hence we only need worry about pairs of conditions and names for $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$. Up to forcing equivalence there are only ω_1 of them.

This proves Proposition 8.

□

Does the existence of a nonmeager set of reals of size ω_1 imply (*)?

This paper was motivated by a result in an earlier version of A.Medini [7] which showed that (*) implies that there is an uncountable $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ with the Grinzing property: for every uncountable $Y \subseteq X$ there is an uncountable family of uncountable subsets of Y with pairwise disjoint closures in 2^ω. To do this Medini used a result from Miller [8]. This has been superceded by a proof in ZFC of an uncountable $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ with the Grinzing property.

References

[1] Bagemihl, F.; Sprinkle, H. D.; On a proposition of Sierpinski's which is equivalent to the continuum hypothesis. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5, (1954). 726-728.

[2] Bartoszynski, Tomek; Combinatorial aspects of measure and category. Fund. Math. 127 (1987), no. 3, 225-239.

[3] Goldstern, M.; Judah, H.; Iteration of Souslin forcing, projective measurability and the Borel conjecture. Israel J. Math. 78 (1992), no. 2-3, 335-362.

[4] Ihoda, Jaime I. (Judah); Shelah, Saharon; Souslin forcing. J. Symbolic Logic 53 (1988), no. 4, 1188-1207.

[5] Judah, H.; Shelah, S.; Killing Luzin and Sierpinski sets. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), no. 3, 917-920.

[6] Kunen, Kenneth; **Set theory. An introduction to independence proofs**. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, 102. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1980. xvi+313 pp. ISBN: 0-444-85401-0

[7] Medini, Andrea; Distinguishing perfect set properties in separable metrizable spaces, eprint May 2014.

[8] Miller, Arnold W.; Mapping a Set of Reals Onto the Reals, Journal of Symbolic Logic, 48(1983), 575-584.

[9] Miller, Arnold W.; A Characterization of the Least Cardinal for which the Baire Category Theorem Fails. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 86(1982), 498-502.

[10] Sierpinski, Waclaw; **Hypothese du continu**. New York, Chelsea Pub. Co., 1956.

Arnold W. Miller
miller@math.wisc.edu
http://www.math.wisc.edu/∼miller
University of Wisconsin-Madison
Department of Mathematics, Van Vleck Hall
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, Wisconsin 53706-1388